Al$_3$O$_{y}$ ($y=0$–$5$) clusters: Sequential oxidation, metal-to-oxide transformation, and photoisomerization
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Photoelectron spectra of a series of Al$_3$O$_y^-$ clusters ($y=0$–$5$) are presented at several photon energies: 532, 355, 266, and 193 nm. The electron affinities and low-lying electronic states of the Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters are reported. The photoelectron spectra clearly reveal a sequential oxidation behavior and how the electronic structure of the clusters evolves from that of a metal cluster at Al$_3$ to that of a complete oxide cluster at Al$_3$O$_5$. Two valence electrons of Al$_3$ are observed to be transferred to each additional O atom until Al$_3$O$_y$, where all the nine valence electrons of Al$_3$ are transferred to the five O atoms. The anion, Al$_3$O$_x^-$, which can be viewed as (Al$^{3+}$)(O$^{2-}$)$_x$, is found to be a closed shell cluster, yielding an extremely high electron affinity for Al$_3$O$_5$ (4.92 eV). The electron affinities of the remaining clusters are: 1.90 (Al$_3$)$_0$, 1.57 eV (Al$_3$O), 2.18 eV (Al$_3$O$_2$), 2.80 eV (Al$_3$O$_3$), and 3.58 eV (Al$_3$O$_4$). An electronic excited state of Al$_3$ is also observed at 0.40 eV above the Al$_3$ ground state. Isomers are observed for all the oxide clusters with lower electron affinities. Particularly, vibrational structures are observed for the two isomers of Al$_3$O$_5^-$, as well as a photoisomerization process between the two isomers. The structure and bonding of the oxide clusters are discussed based on the experimental data and the known structures for Al$_3$ and Al$_3$O.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction between oxygen and aluminum is known to be mainly of ionic character. In bulk Al$_2$O$_3$, the valence electrons of Al are transferred to the O atoms, giving the insulating property of the bulk oxide material: The valence and conduction bands of Al$_2$O$_3$ are composed mainly of O2$p$ and Al3$p$, respectively, with a large band gap. The Al and O atoms can be approximately described as Al$^{3+}$ and O$^{2-}$ in the bulk, whose cohesive energies derive primarily from the electrostatic interactions. Such ionic interactions are expected in the small Al and O molecules and Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters. For a series of Al$_3$O$_x$ clusters with fixed $x$ ($y=0$ to bulk composition), one expects the electronic structure of the Al$_3$O$_x$ clusters to become increasingly simple because the valence electrons of Al are sequentially transferred to the O atoms. By studying these clusters, one not only obtains microscopic information of the oxidation of a cluster, but also follows the transformation from a metal to an oxide cluster, or a molecular metal-to-nonmetal transition. In this article, we study a series of Al$_3$O$_x^-$ ($y=0$–$5$) clusters, using anion photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) which shows directly how the valence electrons of the Al$_3$ clusters are transferred to O with each O addition until Al$_3$O$_5^-$ in which all the ten valence electrons (nine from Al plus the extra charge) are transferred to O. In addition, we have observed a second isomer for Al$_3$O$_y^-(y=1–4)$ and photoisomerization between the two isomers of Al$_3$O$_y^-$. Al$_3$ has been well studied in the past and is known to be a nearly equilateral triangle with a doublet (2$^1A_1$) ground state. Al$_2$O has been studied theoretically as an example of hypermetalated species, but no theoretical studies of the higher Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters have appeared. Experimentally, there have been many studies of the small aluminum oxide clusters. However, few studies have been carried out for the larger aluminum oxide clusters except for a few mass spectrometric works. Therefore, little is known about the Al$_3$O$_x$ clusters (for $y>1$) investigated presently. Our main research interests concern the microscopic investigation of the structure and chemical bonding of small oxide clusters and the potential application of the oxide clusters as microscopic models to understanding bulk oxide surfaces and defects. We have studied a number of oxide systems, including Si, Ge, Ti, Fe, Cu, and V oxides and the smaller Al oxide clusters and have observed sequential oxidation behaviors in these systems.

In the current work, we present a systematic PES study of the Al$_3$O$_y^-$ ($y=0$–$5$) series at several photon energies: 532 nm (2.331 eV), 355 nm (3.493 eV), 266 nm (4.661 eV), and 193 nm (6.424 eV), including vibrationally resolved spectra for Al$_3$O$_5^-$. We show that the electron affinity (EA) of the neutral Al$_3$O$_y$ species increases with the O content and the valence PES spectra of the Al$_3$O$_y^-$ species become simpler with increasing $y$ because the valence electrons of the Al are transferred to the O atoms. The PES spectra show that two electrons from the Al$_3$ clusters are in fact transferred to each O atom to make O$^{2-}$, resulting in a very high EA for Al$_3$O$_5$ which is one electron short of the ten electrons needed for the five O atoms. In addition, isomers have been ob-
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served for all the oxide clusters. Surprisingly, a photoisomerization between the two isomers of Al$_3$O$_2$ was observed under high detachment laser fluences. Based on the experimental observations and the known structures of Al$_3$ and Al$_3$O, possible structures of the higher oxide clusters are proposed and discussed.

II. EXPERIMENT

The experimental apparatus used in this work has been described in detail elsewhere. Briefly, it consists of a laser vaporization supersonic cluster source, a time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer, and a magnetic-bottle TOF photoelectron analyzer. A pure Al disk target, positioned by two computer-controlled stepping motors, was vaporized by the second harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser (5–20 mJ/pulse). An intense helium carrier gas pulse was delivered to the target by two Jordan valves (10 atm backing pressure) and synchronized with the vaporization event. To produce oxide clusters, we seeded the He carrier gas with various amounts of O$_2$ ranging from 0.05%–5%. Usually, a low O$_2$ concentration favors generation of clusters with less oxygen content. For Al$_3$O$, in fact, no oxygen seeding in the He carrier gas was necessary. The oxide layer on the Al target surface was sufficient to produce abundant Al$_3$O$^-$ signals. For very small clusters, particularly, diatomic oxide molecules, we found that we could vary the cluster temperature significantly, depending on the timing between the vaporization event and the arrival of the He carrier gas. If the vaporization laser is fired too early relative to the arrival of the carrier gas, very hot negative ions would be produced. In this condition, no large clusters could be produced, and the molecular anions were mostly from the surface contamination. If the laser vaporization event was timed with the peak of the carrier gas pulse, colder anions were produced. However, the latter condition often produced smaller molecular anions with reduced abundance due to collisional loss or rapid cluster growth that consumed the smaller anions.

The oxide clusters were entrained in the carrier gas and underwent a supersonic expansion. After being collimated by a skimmer, the anion clusters were extracted from the cluster beam perpendicularly and sent into a TOF mass analyzer. The desired clusters were mass selected and decelerated before being intercepted by a detachment laser beam in the interaction zone of the magnetic-bottle TOF photoelectron analyzer. A variety of detachment laser wavelengths were used, including the three harmonics of a Nd:YAG laser (532, 355, and 266 nm) and an ArF excimer laser (193 nm). Low photon energies yielded better resolved spectra for low binding energy features whereas high photon energies allowed more tightly bonded electrons to be detached. However, significant noise existed at high photon energies (above 266 nm, 4.661 eV) where low photon fluences were used and shot-to-shot background subtraction had to be done. The 532 and 355 nm spectra were all taken at 10 Hz repetition rate whereas the 266 and 193 nm spectra were taken at 20 Hz with the vaporization laser off at alternating shots for the background subtraction. The photoelectrons emitted in all 4π solid angles were collected by the magnetic-bottle and analyzed in a 3.5 m long TOF tube. Photoelectron TOF spectra were averaged for 1–3 × 10$^4$ laser shots and were converted to electron kinetic energy spectra calibrated using the known spectrum of Cu$^+$. The binding energy spectra presented were obtained by subtracting the kinetic energy spectra from the detachment photon energies. The resolution of the spectrometer was better than 30 meV FWHM for 1 eV electrons and deteriorated for high electron kinetic energies.
III. RESULTS

Photoelectron spectra of $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_y^-$ ($y = 0 - 4$) at various photon energies are shown in Figs. 1–5, respectively. The spectrum of $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_5^-$, only measured at 193 nm due to its high electron binding energy, is given in Fig. 6 and compared with the 193 nm spectra of all the other species. The spectra of $\text{Al}_3^-$ were taken at two photon energies and a total of seven detachment features were observed as shown in Fig. 1. The binding energies of the detachment features for $\text{Al}_3^-$ are given in Fig. 7, along with the detailed spectral assignments which will be discussed in the following section. The spectra of $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_2^-$, measured at three photon energies, are displayed in Fig. 2. Five distinct features were observed and more transitions exist around 5 eV as can be seen in the 193 nm spectrum, but the poor signal-to-noise ratio prevents us from making any definitive assignments of the high binding energy features. The $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_2^-$ spectra at three photon energies are given in Fig. 3 which reveals four distinct spectral features and a weak low energy feature ($X^-$). The $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_3^-$ cluster was also investigated at three photon energies as shown in Fig. 4. Vibrational structures were clearly resolved in the two spectral features at 355 nm, as indicated in Fig. 4 by the vertical lines. Two more features were observed at the higher photon energy spectra of $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_3^-$. The spectra of $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_4^-$, obtained at three photon energies, are shown in Fig. 5. These spectra show some unusual behavior: The low energy features ($X^-$, $A^-$, and $B^-$) observed in the low photon energy spectra seemed to disappear in the 193 nm spectrum where only two broad features ($X$ and $A$) were observed. Close examination of the 193 nm spectrum reveals that the low energy features still exist, but at much lower intensity barely above the baseline. The $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_5^-$ cluster exhibits very high electron binding energies and its photoelectron spectrum could only be measured at 193 nm as given in Fig. 6. The spectrum of $\text{Al}_3\text{O}_5^-$ also shows a low energy tail, recognizable down to about 3.5
We tried to measure the Al$_2$O$_5$ spectrum at 266 nm (4.661 eV) photon energy in order to resolve the tail, but did not observe any useful spectral features except for a smooth tail resembling the tail in the 193 nm spectrum. The binding energies of all the observed spectral features for Al$_2$O$_x$ ($x = 1-5$) are given in Table I.

The unusual behavior observed in the Al$_2$O$_4$ spectra suggests that there were different isomers populated in the cluster beam. The low binding energy features (~Fig. 5, X', A, B) should be due to a minor isomer and only the 193 nm spectrum revealed the dominating isomer. In fact, we observed isomers for Al$_3$O, Al$_2$O$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$, as well: The lowest binding energy feature (labeled as X') in the spectra of these species (Figs. 2-4) was due to a minor isomer. The weak low energy tail in the Al$_3$O$_5$ spectrum was also likely to be due to a minor isomer in the cluster beam.

The isomers for Al$_3$O$_5$ and Al$_3$O$_4$ are made very clear in Figs. 8 and 9, where the minor isomer populations were shown to vary significantly with experimental conditions. The electron affinities observed in the current work for all the isomers are summarized in Table II. The detailed spectral assignments are discussed in the following section.

### IV. DISCUSSION

The photoelectron spectra shown in Figs. 1–6 represent detachment transitions from the anions to the various states of the neutral clusters, i.e., the low-lying energy levels of the neutral clusters. However, except for Al$_3$ which has been subjected to several previous experimental and theoretical studies.
investigations\(^2\)–\(^8\) and Al\(_3\)O for which there have been two previous theoretical studies,\(^9,10\) there have been no experimental or theoretical studies about the oxide clusters for Al\(_3\)O\(_2\) to Al\(_3\)O\(_5\). Our discussion begins with Al\(_3\) and Al\(_3\)O for which quantitative assignments are possible based on the molecular orbital and structural information available from the previous theoretical investigations. We then will give a qualitative discussion for the higher oxide clusters with the help of the geometrical and electronic structure information of Al\(_3\) and Al\(_3\)O.

A cursory observation of the spectra presented in Fig. 6 shows that the spectra seem to become simpler with increasing O content. The electron binding energies are seen to increase with the O content, as well. Both of these effects are indications of a sequential electron transfer of the valence electrons from Al\(_3\) to each additional O atom, which has a higher electron binding energy (high electron affinity). The spectral simplicity of all the Al\(_3\)O\(_y\) clusters is also due to a fortunate electron counting (even number of valence electrons) which is likely to lead to closed shell ground state for all the anions. Closed shell anions usually give simpler spectra because detachment of each occupied orbital will only lead to one detachment feature (a doublet final neutral state) in the single particle approximation and in the absence of spin–orbit splitting. For example, for an open shell anion with one unpaired electron, detachment of a fully occupied molecular orbital will always lead to a singlet and a triplet neutral state. The valence electron configuration of Al is 3\(s^2\)3\(p^1\) with three valence electrons and that of O is 2\(s^2\)2\(p^4\). Therefore, the total number of valence electrons in all the neutral Al\(_3\)O\(_y\) clusters is an odd number whereas the anions with one extra electron all have even number of electrons. In bulk Al\(_3\)O\(_3\), the three valence electrons are transferred to the O atoms, giving Al\(^{3+}\) and O\(^{2-}\) closed shell ions.\(^1\) If we assume that a similarly ionic bonding picture holds in the Al\(_3\)O\(_y\) clusters, then the valence electrons will decrease from nine in Al\(_3\) by two for each O addition, until Al\(_3\)O\(_5\) which is

TABLE II. Observed adiabatic electron affinity (EA\(_a\)), and vertical electron affinity (EA\(_v\)), and vibrational frequency of Al\(_3\)O\(_y\) (\(y=0–5\)) and their observed isomers.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>EA(_a) (eV)</th>
<th>EA(_v) (eV)</th>
<th>Vib. freq. (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)</td>
<td>1.90 (3)</td>
<td>1.90 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O (T)</td>
<td>1.57 (6)</td>
<td>1.68 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_2)</td>
<td>2.18 (6)</td>
<td>2.29 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_3)</td>
<td>2.80 (2)</td>
<td>2.96 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_4)</td>
<td>3.58 (8)</td>
<td>3.92 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_5)</td>
<td>4.92 (8)</td>
<td>5.21 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O (Y)(^a)</td>
<td>1.14 (6)</td>
<td>1.22 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_2) (iso)(^a)</td>
<td>1.6 (1)</td>
<td>1.9 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_3) (iso)(^a)</td>
<td>2.07 (2)</td>
<td>2.25 (2)</td>
<td>720 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_3)O(_4) (iso)(^a)</td>
<td>1.75 (6)</td>
<td>1.81 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)See Table I, Figs. 2–5, 8, and 9, and the text for isomer assignments.
one electron short, thus leading to a very high electron binding energy for Al$_3$O$_5$ which is a perfectly closed shell anion. This qualitative picture seems to agree well with the photoelectron spectra observed for Al$_3$O$_5$. The fact that we have not been able to observe an Al$_3$O$_6$ anion with any substantial abundance is also consistent with this qualitative bonding picture.

A. Al$_3^+/Al_3^-$ and excited state of Al$_3^-$

Several theoretical calculations have been carried out on Al$_3$ and its electronic and geometrical structure is well understood.$^{2-5}$ Al$_3$ has a $C_{2v}$ symmetry with a nearly equilateral triangle structure. Its valence molecular orbital can be described as: $1a_1^22a_1^21b_1^11b_2^33a_1^1$ with a $2A_1$ ground state, where the $1a_1$, $2a_1$, and $1b_1$ are mainly the Al3s orbitals, the $1b_2$ and $3a_1$ are a $\pi$ and $\sigma$ orbital, respectively, derived from the Al3p orbitals.$^2$ The highest occupied molecular orbital of Al$_3$ in the $D_{3h}$ symmetry would be a doublet degenerate orbital ($2e'$). Thus Al$_3$ cannot possess the $D_{3h}$ symmetry due to the Jahn–Teller effect. In Al$_3^-$, the extra electron enters the $3a_1$ orbital, giving a $1A_1$ ground state for the anion ($1a_1^32a_1^21b_1^11b_2^33a_1^1$). The extra electron can also enter the $4a_2$ orbital, giving a triplet excited state of Al$_3^-$: $3A_1$ ($1a_1^32a_1^2b_1^11b_2^33a_1^14a_1^1$), which was calculated to be 0.40 eV above the ground state.$^2$ The photoelectron spectra shown in Fig. 1 can be definitively assigned based on the above electronic structure information.

The weak features labeled as $X'$ and $A'$ depend on experimental conditions, but they could not be completely eliminated. These features were also observed in two previous PES experiments.$^7,8$ They can be either due to an Al$_3^-$ isomer or excited state of Al$_3^-$. We assign them as due to the excited state of Al$_3^-$. Then the intense and sharp feature labeled $X$ should represent the ground-to-ground state transition. The energy difference between the $X'$ and $X$ features would represent the excitation energy of Al$_3^-$, as shown in Fig. 7. The 0.40 eV excitation energy we obtained is in excellent agreement with the previous calculation for the $1A_1$ excited state of Al$_3^-$, supporting our assignment of the $X'$ feature. The $A'$ feature then represents detachment of the $3a_1$ electron from the excited state anion, leading to a $2A_1$ excited state of neutral Al$_3$ ($1a_1^22a_1^2b_1^11b_2^33a_1^1$) as shown in Fig. 7.

The five major features in Fig. 1, $X$, $A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$, are then due to detachment from the ground state of Al$_3^-$ ($1a_1^22a_1^2b_1^11b_2^33a_1^1$), corresponding to removal of an electron from the $3a_1$, $1b_2$, $1b_1$, $2a_1$, and $1a_1$ orbitals, respectively. The assignments are given in Fig. 1 and the detailed energy levels observed currently are schematically shown in Fig. 7. Therefore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the detachment transitions and the occupied molecular orbitals of Al$_3^-$. Such spectral simplicity can only arise when the anion is closed shell, and in the absence of multielectron transitions, spin–orbit splittings, or degenerate orbitals. The $X$ $2A_1$ ground state feature is very sharp with little indication of vibrational excitation, suggesting that there is little geometry change between the ground state of the anion and that of the neutral. It is also interesting to note that the three transitions ($B$, $C$, and $D$) from the three Al3$s$-type orbitals are fairly close in energy. The binding energies of these three transitions are also very similar to that of the Al3$s$ in Al$_3^-$ (4.1 eV).$^{34}$ Both of these observations suggest that the $3s$ orbitals in the trimer are quite localized and the bonding interactions in Al$_3$ come primarily from the $3p$ orbitals. This is not surprising since the $3s$-$3p$ promotion energy in Al atom is 3.6 eV,$^{35}$ which is rather high compared to the Al–Al bonding energy. In a recent study,$^{34}$ we have shown that the $3s$–$3p$ hybridization increases with cluster size and the $3s$- and $3p$-derived orbitals become completely overlapped only at Al$_3$.

B. Al$_3$O/Al$_3$O$^-$ and isomers

Al$_3$O has been investigated in two previous theoretical studies as an example of a class of so-called hypermetallic molecules, i.e., metal-rich molecules in which the valence of the metals exceeds that of the non-metal elements.$^9,10$ Several structures of Al$_3$O were considered and the lowest energy structure was identified as a $T$-type geometry with a $C_{2v}$ symmetry, which is schematically shown in Fig. 10. Another $Y$-type isomer, also shown in Fig. 10, was only 0.5 kcal/mol higher in energy. Other isomers calculated were all considerably higher in energy. The $T$-type isomer, which should be predominantly produced in our experiment, has a $2B_2$ ground state with the following configuration:
1a₁²2a₁ 1b₂¹b₂²3a₂¹4a₂ 2b₂¹2b₂, where the 1a₁ orbital is the O2s, the 2a₁, 1b₂, and 1b₁ orbitals are derived primarily from the O2p, the 3a₁, 4a₂, and 2b₂ orbitals are mainly of Al3s character, and the 2b₂ orbital is mainly of Al3p character.9 In the anion, the electron should enter the 2b₂ orbital, yielding a closed shell singlet ground state, ¹A₁.

The uppermost valence molecular orbital configuration of Al₂O is therefore quite similar to that of Al₃ discussed above, except that two Al₃p electrons are transferred to a predominantly O₂p orbital. Our observed photoelectron spectra can be interpreted using the above theoretical information.

The photoelectron spectra of Al₃O− are shown in Fig. 2 at three photon energies. Two features were observed in the 532 nm spectrum with partially resolved vibrational structures. The 355 nm spectrum revealed a new feature at around 3 eV and two more major features were observed in the 193 nm spectrum at around 3.5 and 4.2 eV. More features exist at higher binding energies in the 193 nm spectrum, but they cannot be definitively identified due to the poor signal-to-noise ratio. We found that the intensity ratio between the 355 nm spectrum with partially resolved vibrational structures. The uppermost valence molecular orbital configuration of Al₂O is therefore quite similar to that of Al₃ discussed above, except that two Al₃p electrons are transferred to a predominantly O₂p orbital. Our observed photoelectron spectra can be interpreted using the above theoretical information.

The X feature is then the ground state of Al₂O, arising from detachment from the Al₃p-type 2b₂ orbital. The A, B, and C features are assigned to be from detachment of the three Al₃s-type orbitals, 2b₁, 4a₁, and 3a₁, respectively. The features around 5 eV or higher are probably due to the O2p-type orbitals, whose binding energies are known to be high. For example, the binding energies of the O2p-type orbitals in Al₃O− clusters were observed to be around 5 eV or higher.12 Therefore, the main features of the Al₃O− spectra are all due to Al. The seemingly similarity of the Al₃O− spectrum to that of Al₂O, as shown more clearly in Fig. 6, lends support to our assignment. Both the T and Y isomers have three totally symmetric vibrational modes, which may all be active, preventing us from observing a simple vibrational progression in the 532 nm spectrum in Fig. 2. Our resolution was not sufficient to allow us to determine vibrational frequencies which would be useful to compare with the calculated values for the two isomers. However, the electron affinities of the two isomers are quite different and were determined fairly accurately (see Table II), which will be interesting to compare with future calculations. We have shown previously that experimentally measured adiabatic and vertical electron affinities are valuable parameters to compare to theoretical calculations to distinguish isomers and determine cluster structures.18,21,22,29

C. Al₃O₂/Al₃O₂ and isomers

The spectra of Al₃O₂ were measured at three photon energies and are shown in Fig. 3. The 355 nm spectrum shows an intense band (X) at about 2.3 eV and a weaker broad feature (X′) at lower binding energy. At 266 nm, one additional feature was observed at about 3.5 eV and the 193 nm spectrum revealed two more features (B and C) at about 4.7 and 5.1 eV, respectively. The weak feature (X′) was shown to depend on source conditions. The spectra shown in Fig. 3 were all taken with low O₂ concentration (0.05%–0.1% O₂ in He). Figure 8 shows two spectra of Al₃O₂ taken at 355 nm under two very different source conditions. The spectrum in Fig. 8(a) was taken with pure He carrier gas. Under this condition, small amount of Al₃O₂ could be generated with a fresh target surface, and we observed that the intensity of the X′ feature was very low. Figure 8(b) shows a spectrum taken at higher O₂ concentration (0.5%) in the He carrier gas and the intensity of the X′ feature was enhanced considerably. However, we could not eliminate the X′ feature completely. The dramatic dependence on the source conditions suggests that the X′ feature was due to another minor isomer of Al₃O₂. Therefore, we assign the four main features (X, A, B, and C) to be due to the major isomer.

From Al₃ to Al₂O, essentially two valence electrons of Al₃ are transferred to the O atom, as discussed above. Similarly, in Al₃O₂, two more electrons are expected to be donated to the extra O atom, leaving only five valence electrons on Al₃. In the anion, there will be six electrons occupying mainly the three Al₃s orbitals. As we have seen above, the O2p derived orbitals have high binding energies around 5 eV or higher. Thus, we assign the feature at 5.1 eV to be due to the O2p-derived orbitals and the three lower energy features to be from the Al₃s-type orbitals. Compared to Al₃O−, the three Al₃s-derived features are more separated (Fig. 6).

It would be interesting to speculate about the possible structures of the two isomers observed in our experiment. Two candidates can be suggested based on the two isomers observed for Al₃O−, as shown in Fig. 10. The structure (a), a trigonal bipyramid, is suggested based on the T-type isomer of Al₂O. Structure (b) is based on the Y-type isomer of Al₂O by adding the second O to the Al−Al bond. Without accurate theoretical calculations, we cannot comment on the relative stability of either isomer. However, the structure (a), in which the two O atoms are equally bonded to the three Al, may be expected to be more stable and could correspond to the major isomer in our experiment.

D. Al₃O₃/Al₃O₃: isomers and photoisomerization

We measured the photoelectron spectra of Al₃O₃ at three photon energies (Fig. 4). Two vibrationally resolved bands (X′ and X) were observed at 355 nm detachment energy. We obtained a vibrational frequency for the X′ band to be about 720 cm⁻¹. The X band is more complicated with at least two active modes. The higher frequency mode yielded a vibrational spacing of about 610 cm⁻¹, and the lower frequency mode is about 300–350 cm⁻¹, which was not well resolved. The 266 nm spectrum revealed a new feature at about 3.7 eV. At 193 nm, another feature at about 5.2 eV
was further observed. The intensity of the X’ band seemed to be enhanced significantly at the higher detachment photon energies.

We found that the intensity of the X’ band actually depended on our source conditions. The 355 nm spectrum shown in Fig. 4 was taken at a much colder source condition. Figure 9(b) shows a 355 nm spectrum of Al2O5 at a slightly higher source temperature by firing the vaporization laser earlier relative to the He carrier gas. Compared to the cold spectrum of Al2O5 [Fig. 9(a)], the intensity of the X’ feature under the hot condition was considerably increased, implying that the X’ feature was due to a different isomer. More surprisingly, when the detachment laser fluence was increased, the intensity of the X’ band was dramatically increased, as shown in Fig. 9(c). The source conditions for the spectra of Figs. 9(b) and 9(c) were the same except that the laser fluence used for Fig. 9(c) was about four times higher than that used for Fig. 9(b). This suggests that the two isomer populations were in fact altered by the detachment laser intensity. This is a clear example of photoisomerization. Somehow the anions of the isomer due to X, which is probably lower in energy, absorbed a photon and then isomerized to another structure due to X’.

Photoabsorption by anions and subsequent relaxation to internal energies were first observed in a photodetachment experiment of C60.36 and have since been observed in photodetachment experiments of many metal cluster anions, causing low electron energy tails in photoelectron spectra due to thermonic emission.37 However, this is the first time that photoisomerization has ever been observed in an anion system.

Therefore, we attribute the three main features (X, A, and B) to the major isomer. The feature B at 5.2 eV should be due to detachment from the O2p-type orbitals as in Al2O5 and Al2O7. The X and A features are then assigned to be from detachment of the Al3s-type orbitals. Compared to Al2O5, two more valence electrons are transferred to the third O in Al2O7. Thus only two features were expected from the two pairs of electrons in the Al3s-type orbitals.

Even though vibrational information was obtained for the two isomers of Al3O5, their structures were still not known without accurate theoretical calculations. Two suggestions were made as shown in Fig. 10. The ring structure (a) in which each O is bonded to two Al was expected to be more stable and should be a reasonable candidate for the major isomers observed in our experiment. We found previously that Si3O5 has a similar ring-type structure.20,21 The structure (b) might be a good candidate for the X’ isomer, which is similar to the (b) isomer of Al3O2.

E. Al3O4/Al3O4− and isomers

The spectra of Al3O4−, measured at three photon energies, are shown in Fig. 5. The 355 nm spectrum showed three features. The X’ and A’ features were overlapping and the B’ feature was rather broad. The 266 nm spectrum revealed more features at high binding energies, but were very broad. Surprisingly, the 193 nm spectrum showed only two broad features (X and A) at high binding energies. The lower binding energy features seemed to disappear in the 193 nm spectrum. However, close examination of the 193 nm spectrum suggested that the low binding energy features were still there, but with extremely weak intensities, suggesting that the low binding energy features were all from a minor isomer of Al3O4.

We again assign the high binding energy feature around 5 eV to be due to detachment from O2p-type orbitals. In Al2O4, only one valence electron is left on the Al3+, probably occupying a Al3s-type orbital. In the anion, the extra electron paired up with this electron, giving the broad detachment feature at about 3.8 eV. Two structures were suggested for the isomers of Al3O4, as shown in Fig. 10. The structure (a), formed by adding the fourth O to the structure (a) of Al2O4, might be a reasonable candidate for the main isomer we observed because all the Al atoms are equivalent in this structure and there are more Al–O bonds. The structure (b), comprised of two Al2O2 rhombuses, was suggested as a candidate for the other isomer observed in our experiment. This structure was suggested based on the Si3O4 cluster which we have determined definitively to be a D2d structure with two perpendicular Si3O4 rhombuses.18,20,21 The central Si in this case is tetra coordinated, similar to the environment in bulk silica. Although in bulk Al2O3, Al is coordinated by six O, the Al in aluminosilicate is four coordinated, similar to the structure (b) suggested for Al3O2 in Fig. 10.

F. Al3O2/Al3O2−: A complete oxide cluster

Al3O5− has a very high electron binding energy and its photoelectron spectrum could only be measured at 193 nm photon energy, which is shown in Fig. 6. A low energy tail was seen in the 193 nm spectrum of Al3O5−, possibly due to isomers. We measured the spectrum of Al3O2 at 266 nm to examine the low energy tail, but did not obtain any useful information. Only a broad tail was observed. The high binding energy of the detachment feature of Al3O2 suggests that it is due to detachment of the O2p-type orbitals. The lack of lower energy features indicates that all the valence electrons of Al are transferred to the O atoms in Al3O5−. Indeed, just from the electron counting, the nine valence electron of Al3 plus the extra electron of the anion would make Al3O5− a perfect closed shell anion, consistent with its high binding energy. Therefore, in Al3O5−, all the Al atoms can be viewed as Al3+ and all the O atoms as O2−, making Al3O5− a complete oxide molecule, similar to the bulk oxide. Figure 10 shows a suggested structure for this cluster, which is formed by adding the fifth O atom to that of the structure (a) of Al3O4. In this structure, the three Al atoms are surrounded by the five O atoms, a favorable arrangement from a simple electrostatic consideration. Another isomer of Al3O4 may be considered by adding the fifth O atom to one of the terminal Al atom in structure (b) of Al3O4, a structure similar to Si3O4.21 However, only one isomer was dominate as indicated from our photoelectron spectrum of Al3O5−.

G. Sequential oxidation, structural evolution, and the nature of ionic bonding in the Al3Oy clusters

Figure 6 compares all the photoelectron spectra of Al3Oy (y = 0−5) at 193 nm detachment energy. Several
interesting points can be made and deserve further discussion. If we just consider those features from the main isomers as labeled, we see a clear trend of sequential oxidation and transition from a metal cluster (Al$_3$) to an oxide cluster (Al$_3$O$_y$). The spectral features derived from the Al3s, Al3p, and O2p are labeled in Fig. 6, which shows how two valence electrons of Al$_3$ are sequentially transferred to the O atom at each oxidation step, until all the valence electrons of Al$_3$ are transferred to the O atoms in Al$_3$O$_5$. Figure 11 shows a schematic representation of the electron transfers from Al to O in the Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters. This series of clusters may be viewed as true molecular analogs of how an Al surface is oxidized with increasing O atom adsorption until the formation of bulk Al$_2$O$_3$ oxide.

The electron affinities observed for the clusters (Table II) are shown to increase with the O content except for the first step from Al$_1$ to Al$_3$. This trend is similar to that observed previously for Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ series of clusters, where we used a simple electrostatic model to rationalize the trend of the electron affinity with increasing O content in the clusters. We considered the metal cluster as a sphere with a radius ($R$). A net charge transfer ($\delta$) occurs from the metal cluster to the O atom upon each O adsorption. Then a simple electrostatic consideration could qualitatively account for the electron affinity increase with the O content. This simple model seems to apply to the Al$_3$O$_y$ [(Al$_3$)$_{x+y}$] series of clusters. The only constraint in the model is that the O atoms are adsorbed on the surface of the metal clusters. The structures of the main isomers (a) for the Al$_3$O$_y$ ($x=2-5$) shown in Fig. 10 were proposed to be consistent with this simple idea of sequential O atom chemisorption on the cluster surface. The near linear increase of the electron affinity with the O content provides some indirect support for these proposed structures. Of course, these are very simple considerations, and accurate theoretical calculations will be required to determine definitively the cluster structures. The experimental data presented in this work, i.e., electron affinities, low-lying electronic energy levels, vibrational frequencies (Al$_3$O$_3$ only), and isomeric information, should prove valuable for future theoretical studies. However, the ionic bonding nature between Al and O, i.e., charge transfers from Al to O are shown very clearly from the current data.

Another noteworthy observation is that the binding energies of the O2p-$d$ derived orbitals appear to be similar in all the Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters (Fig. 6). This was also true for the Al$_3$O$_2$ series of clusters. It is interesting to note that the binding energy of the O2p ($O^{2-}$) in bulk Al$_2$O$_3$ happens at about 5 eV below the Fermi level, i.e., the valence band edge, which is entirely composed of the O2p orbitals. The binding energy of the O2p in the Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters occurs almost in the same energy range, further evidence suggesting that the O atoms in these oxide clusters can be viewed as $O^{2-}$ similar to the bulk oxide. This observation is consistent with our experimental data and provides additional evidence for the ionic nature of the bonding between Al and O in the Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We report a comprehensive photoelectron spectroscopic investigation of Al$_3$O$_y$ ($y=0-5$) at various photon energies. Electron affinities and low-lying electronic structure information were obtained for all the clusters. An excited state of the Al$_3$ ion was observed and assigned based on previous theoretical calculations. A second isomer was observed for all the oxide clusters and electron affinities were obtained for all the isomers. In particular, a surprising photoisomerization was observed between the two isomers of Al$_3$O$_5$.

The systematic data allowed us to observe atom-by-atom how the electrons of Al are transferred to O in the Al$_3$O$_y$ clusters at each O addition, i.e., a sequential oxidation. Essentially we have observed a metal (Al$_3$) to nonmetal (Al$_2$O$_3$) transition in a finite system since Al$_2$O$_3$ emulates a complete oxide cluster with all the valence electrons of Al transferred to the O atoms. Therefore, the ionic bonding nature between Al and O was directly probed. Based on the two known isomers of Al$_2$O$_3$ and the nature of the sequential oxidation, possible structures of the higher oxide clusters were proposed and discussed. The current series of clusters provides true molecular analogs of the oxidation of aluminum surfaces and warrants further theoretical investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division and is conducted at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830. L.S.W. is an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow.